

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,744	02/22/2002	Lonny Avital	2109.005USU	9412
75	90 06/03/2004		EXAM	INER
Charles N.J. R		LE, DEBBIE M		
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
10th Floor One Landmark Square				TALERNOMBER
Stamford, CT 06901-2682			2177	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

y

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10/081,744	AVITAL, LONNY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit /				
	DEBBIE M LE	2177				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe	ebruary 2002.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·— · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vasell et al (USP Application No. 2001/0022837 A1).

As per claim 1, Vasell discloses a method of exchanging information between a remote device and a computing device comprising:

receiving a transaction from the remote device (fig. 2, # 12-18, # 28, # 30, \P 0036);

generating a transaction request that causes at least one of a plurality of applications to run on the computing device in response to the transaction (fig. 2, # 22, \P 0033);

retrieving data from the at least one of said plurality of applications (fig. 2, # 34. ¶ 0026); and

transmitting the data to the remote device (¶ 0040).

Art Unit: 2177

As per claim 2, Vasell teaches wherein said receiving a transaction from the remote device comprises: transmitting a customized screen to the remote device (¶ 0015); and generating a transaction on the remote device in response to data input using the screen (¶ 0039, ¶ 0044).

As per claims 3-4, Vasell teaches wherein said transmitting the data to the remote device comprises formatting the data for display by the remote device, wherein formatting the data for display by the remote device comprises integrating the data into customized pre-configured screens for display by the remote device (¶ 0037-0038).

As per claim 5, Vasell teaches wherein the remote device is a wireless device (fig. 2, # 28, ¶0036) .

As per claim 6, Vasell teaches wherein the computing device is a personal computer (fig. 2, # 20, # 30).

As per claim 7, Vasell teaches method of exchanging information between a remote device and a computing device comprising:

providing a pre-configured screen for display on the remote device, customizing the pre-configured screen for generating a transaction (¶ 0037-0038);

using the customized pre-configured screen on the remote device to generate the transaction, running at least one of a plurality of applications in response to the transaction (¶ 0039, ¶ 0044); and

transmitting data resulting from running the at least one of said plurality of applications to the remote device (¶ 0040).

Art Unit: 2177

As per claim 8, Vasell teaches wherein said running at least one of said plurality of applications comprises: transmitting the transaction from the remote device (fig. 2, # 12-18, # 28, # 30) to a connection server (fig. 2, # 22, service platform server); generating a transaction request at the connection server in response to the transaction and receiving the transaction request (fig. 2, # 22, # 26, and # 34).

As per claim 9, Vasell teaches wherein said receiving the transaction request comprises: transmitting the transaction request from the connection server to a connection client and receiving the transaction request from the connection client (¶ 0040).

As per claim 10, Vasell teaches wherein said transmitting data resulting from running the at least one of said plurality of applications to the remote device comprises: transmitting the data to a connection server, formatting the data at the connection server for presentation to the remote device and transmitting the formatted data to the remote device (¶ 0037).

As per claim 11, Vasell teaches wherein said formatting the data at the connection server for presentation to the remote device comprises integrating the data into a pre-configured customized screen for display by the remote device (¶ 0038, ¶ 0044).

Claims 12-13 have similar limitations as claims 5-6; therefore, they are rejected under the same subject matter.

Claim 14 is rejected by the same rationale as state in independent claim 1 arguments.

Art Unit: 2177

Claims 15-17 have similar limitations as claims 2-4; therefore, they are rejected

under the same subject matter.

Claim 18 is rejected by the same rationale as state in independent claim 7

arguments.

Claims 19-22 have similar limitations as claims 8-11; therefore, they are rejected

under the same subject matter.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DEBBIE M LE whose telephone number is 703-308-

6409. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, JOHN BREENE can be reached on 703-305-9790. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DEBBIE M LE Examiner Art Unit 2177

Debbie Le

May 28, 2004.

GRETA ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER